

HOW EULER WOULD COMPUTE THE EULER-POINCARÉ CHARACTERISTIC OF A LIE SUPERALGEBRA

PASHA ZUSMANOVICH

ABSTRACT. The Euler-Poincaré characteristic of a finite-dimensional Lie algebra vanishes. If we want to extend this result to Lie superalgebras, we should deal with infinite sums. We observe that a suitable method of summation, which goes back to Euler, allows to do that, to a certain degree. The mathematics behind it is simple (and known), we just glue the pieces of elementary homological algebra, first-year calculus and pedestrian combinatorics together, and present them in a (hopefully) coherent manner.

INTRODUCTION

Let L be a finite-dimensional Lie algebra, M an L -module. An *Euler-Poincaré characteristic* $\chi(L, M)$ is the alternating sum

$$(1) \quad \dim H^0(L, M) - \dim H^1(L, M) + \dim H^2(L, M) - \dots$$

As cohomology in dimension greater than $\dim L$ necessarily vanishes, the sum is finite.

The study of Euler-Poincaré characteristic of Lie algebras, being probably less sophisticated than its differential-geometric, group-theoretic, or category-theoretic counterparts, has nevertheless a relatively interesting history.

Apparently for the first time the question was considered in the Lie-algebraic framework by Chevalley and Eilenberg in [CE]. In that classical paper, cohomology rings of simple (classical) Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}$ over algebraically closed field K of characteristic zero with coefficients in the trivial module K were determined, and, in particular, it was noted that $\chi(\mathfrak{g}, K) = 0$ (op. cit., p. 109). It was also proved that for any finite-dimensional irreducible nontrivial $\mathfrak{g}$ -module, the cohomology vanishes. As every finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{g}$ -module is completely reducible, the cohomology with coefficients in any such module is a sum of several copies of cohomology with coefficients in K , so the Euler-Poincaré characteristic for any finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{g}$ -module vanishes too.

Chevalley and Eilenberg deduced their results from earlier results of Pontryagin and Hopf about Lie groups. Almost at the same time, Koszul, also basing on Hopf's methods, gave a purely algebraic treatment of the subject in not less classical paper [Ko]. In particular, he established that cohomology ring of a reductive Lie algebra over a field of characteristic zero is isomorphic to the exterior algebra over the space of its primitive elements. Although he did not mention the Euler-Poincaré characteristic explicitly, its vanishing immediately follows from the latter isomorphism.

Later, Goldberg [Go] found an amazingly elementary proof of a more general result: $\chi(L, K) = 0$ for any finite-dimensional Lie algebra L over any field K . (He considered only the case of the trivial module, but the reasonings remain the same in the case of an arbitrary finite-dimensional module. Also, it should be noted that he credited the idea of the proof in its full generality to the anonymous referee). This anonymous referee's proof uses an elementary (and well-known) fact that the Euler-Poincaré characteristic of a finite cochain complex coincides with the Euler-Poincaré characteristic of its cohomology (referred sometimes as the Euler-Poincaré principle). We reproduce all ingredients of this proof in an appropriate context later in the present note.

Goldberg's paper apparently remained not widely known (may be due to not very "scientific" place of publication), as later at least three papers appeared proving the vanishing of the Euler-Poincaré characteristic for different classes of finite-dimensional algebras (that is, even not achieving the full generality): Malliavin-Brameret treated the cases of nilpotent [M1] and then of solvable [M2] Lie algebras, and Pirashvili treated the cases of Lie algebras over a field of characteristic zero and non-perfect algebras (over any field) [P]. It is interesting that in all these papers, the same argument as in Goldberg's paper was employed, but, so to say, "in the wrong direction": instead of passing from cohomology to the underlying cochain complex, they passed to the complex arising from the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence, making arguments more cumbersome and less general (this did not went unnoticed in the Zentralblatt review 0386.17006 of [M2] by Vogan).

What about Lie superalgebras? An immediate difficulty arises: due to the presence of the odd part, the super analogue of the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex is infinite, so the sum (1) is, in general, infinite and divergent. Even if we restrict considerations to Lie superalgebras whose cohomology vanishes for a large enough degree (this is a far from trivial and fairly interesting class of Lie superalgebras, as was demonstrated in [Gr]), the underlying cochain complex is still infinite, and we can not pass to it easily as in the ordinary Lie algebras case. As Borchers succinctly put it in [Bor]: "Strictly speaking... the alternating sum (1) does not make sense unless the groups $\bigwedge^n$ are all finite dimensional and almost all 0. I will deal with this problem by ignoring it".

Of course, people successfully applied the Euler-Poincaré principle to infinite (co)chain complexes. However, in all such cases, that or another sort of finiteness condition was present, which allowed to reduce the situation to the usual case of finite complexes. For example, in, perhaps, the most celebrated such application – proof of the Weyl-Kac character formula and various combinatorial identities by homological methods due to Garland and Lepowsky (see [F, Chapter 3, §§2-3]) – the underlying Chevalley-Eilenberg complex computing the homology of the "nilpotent" part of a Kac-Moody algebra has a structure of a semisimple module over a Cartan subalgebra with finite-dimensional weight spaces, what allows to decompose the whole complex into the (infinite) direct sum of finite ones.

In absence of any finiteness conditions, we apparently do not have another choice than to employ an appropriate summation method of infinite series. With a suitably modified definition of the Euler-Poincaré characteristic we are able to carry on the Goldberg's proof in the super case, under some assumption of convergence and dimensions of the homogeneous components.

In fact, we consider a more general setting of color Lie algebras. Though, compared to the super case, we are able to give even a less complete answer, it has an interesting conceptual feature that the notions of dimension and the Euler-Poincaré characteristic, are, essentially, the same.

Our results could be interpreted in the following interesting way: if the zero component of a color Lie algebra is large enough (i.e. if a color algebra is “close” to an ordinary Lie algebra), its Euler-Poincaré characteristic behaves like in the ordinary Lie algebra case. On the contrary, and this is peculiar to the super/color situation, if dimensions of the zero and odd components coincide, the Euler-Poincaré characteristic does not vanish!

The next two short sections contain recapitulations on all necessary definitions and results from the color algebra, §3 contains definition of the Euler-Poincaré characteristic suitable for the color case based on the Abel summation, as well as the needed preparatory statements about it, and §4 contains formulation and proof of the main theorem. In §5 we speculate about the possibility to use other summation methods. As the goal of this note is to say as much as possible about the Euler-Poincaré characteristic, entirely avoiding at the same time to look at cohomology itself, there is no shortage of further questions which may require more sophisticated approaches. In the last §6 we point out some of them.

We choose to argue about cohomology, but all the definitions, reasonings and results could be carried over to homology in an obvious way.

1. RECAPITULATION ON COLOR LINEAR ALGEBRA

Here we record some elementary facts about color dimension in the generality suited for our needs. Essentially, they could be found in different variations and using different terminology in many places in the literature (see, for example, [Ka] and references therein). Otherwise, we follow [S] and [SZ], where all other necessary details may be found.

Let G be an abelian group (written additively) and K is a field. We work in the category of G -color vector spaces over K , i.e. G -graded vector spaces with suitably defined (G -graded) morphisms, subspaces, tensor products, etc. Most of the time we are speaking, by abuse of language, on just *color vector spaces*, assuming it is clear from the context which group G is meant.

To circumvent the standard set-theoretic issues (like nonexistence of the set of all cardinal numbers), assume that all elements and sets under consideration are lying in some (infinite) universe, and let $\mathcal{C}$ be a ring consisting of all cardinal numbers less than the cardinality of the universe, as well as their formal negations, with obvious operations of addition and multiplication. (This, however, is not of paramount importance for our further considerations, as we are interested primarily in the finite-dimensional case, in which the role of $\mathcal{C}$ is played by $\mathbb{Z}$).

Definition. A (G -color) dimension of a G -color vector space $V = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in G} V_{\alpha}$, denoted as $\dim_G V$, is an element of the group ring $\mathcal{C}[G]$ equal to

$$(2) \quad \sum_{\alpha \in G} (\dim V_{\alpha}) e^{\alpha},$$

where $\dim V_\alpha$ is an ordinary dimension of vector spaces over K . V is said to be finite-dimensional if all graded components V_α are finite-dimensional (irrespective of whether G is finite or not)[†].

(Note that e^α 's in formula (2) are merely formal symbols facilitating passage from the additive notation in G to the multiplicative notation in $\mathcal{C}[G]$, i.e. satisfying the usual properties of the exponent: $e^0 = 1 \in \mathcal{C}$, $e^\alpha e^\beta = e^{\alpha+\beta}$).

In what follows, we will always specify subscript G in $\dim_G$, to distinguish it from the ordinary dimension $\dim$ (which is, of course, is just the color dimension in the case when G is the trivial group).

Obviously dimension of a finite-dimensional G -color vector space lies in the subring $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ of $\mathcal{C}[G]$.

The color dimension enjoys the usual additivity and multiplicativity properties of the ordinary dimension:

Lemma 1.

- (i) If $0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow U \rightarrow W \rightarrow 0$ is a short exact sequence of color vector spaces, then $\dim_G U = \dim_G V + \dim_G W$. In particular (or rather, equivalently), $\dim_G(V \oplus W) = \dim_G V + \dim_G W$.
- (ii) $\dim_G(V \otimes W) = \dim_G V \cdot \dim_G W$.
- (iii) If V is finite-dimensional, then $\dim_G \operatorname{Hom}(V, W) = \dim_G V \cdot \dim_G W$.

Proof. This is obvious and reflects addition and multiplication rules in the group ring. $\square$

The following can be considered as a generalization of the formula (2):

Lemma 2. Let G, H be two abelian groups, $V = \bigoplus_{(\alpha, \beta) \in G \times H} V_{\alpha, \beta}$ be a $G \times H$ -color vector space, $\Phi : \mathcal{C}[G \times H] \simeq \mathcal{C}[G][H]$ be a canonical isomorphism. Then $\Phi(\dim_{G \times H} V) = \sum_{\beta \in H} (\dim_G V_\beta) e^\beta$, where V_β is a G -color vector space equal to $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in G} V_{\alpha, \beta}$.

Proof. Amounts to writing a double sum $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in G, \beta \in H} V_{\alpha, \beta}$ in two ways, by grouping elements by G and by H . $\square$

In a more general situation, when there is a surjective group homomorphism $\varphi : G \rightarrow H$, a G -color vector space V could be considered as an H -color vector space, by grouping together elements lying in the same conjugacy class: $V = \bigoplus_{\beta \in H} V_\beta$, $V_\beta = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \varphi^{-1}(\beta)} V_\alpha$. Accordingly, we may consider the dimension $\dim_H V$ of V as an H -color vector space, which coincides with the image of $\dim_G V$ under the induced homomorphism of group rings $\mathcal{C}[G] \rightarrow \mathcal{C}[H]$.

In particular, each color vector space has an *ordinary dimension* as an ordinary vector space, which is just the sum of dimensions of all its homogeneous components.

2. RECAPITULATION ON COLOR LIE ALGEBRAS AND THEIR COHOMOLOGY

Though the number of publications on color algebras estimated nowadays by hundreds (and on superalgebras by thousands), there is still unfortunate ambiguity

[†] In some specific situations, for example, when the vector space V is a highest weight module over a simple classical or Kac-Moody algebra, with grading induced by the action of the Cartan subalgebra, and the group G is generated by the corresponding roots, what we call a color dimension here, is called a character (cf. the famous Weyl-Kac character formula).

in terminology and notations, so we briefly set them up in this section. We continue to follow [S] and [SZ].

Let G be, like in §1, an abelian group. A *commutation factor* on G is a map $\varepsilon : G \times G \rightarrow K$ such that

$$(3) \quad \varepsilon(\alpha, \beta)\varepsilon(\beta, \alpha) = 1$$

$$(4) \quad \varepsilon(\alpha + \beta, \gamma) = \varepsilon(\alpha, \gamma)\varepsilon(\beta, \gamma)$$

$$(5) \quad \varepsilon(\alpha, \beta + \gamma) = \varepsilon(\alpha, \beta)\varepsilon(\alpha, \gamma)$$

for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in G$. A (G, ε) -*color Lie algebra* is a color vector space $L = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in G} L_\alpha$ equipped with multiplication $[\cdot, \cdot]$ which preserves G -grading and satisfies ε -skew-symmetry and ε -Jacobi identity (in fact, conditions (3)-(5) defining a commutation factor may be deduced from the preservation of G -grading under $[\cdot, \cdot]$ and ε -skew-symmetry).

Again, by abuse of language, we are talking about just *color Lie algebras*, assuming the group G and the commutation factor ε are clear from the context. The important case $G = \mathbb{Z}_2$ and

$$(6) \quad \varepsilon(\alpha, \beta) = (-1)^{\alpha\beta}$$

warrants a special name of a *Lie superalgebra*. The underlying vector space of a Lie superalgebra (i.e. $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -color vector space) is called *superspace*. The dimension of a Lie superalgebra (and, more general, of a superspace) is an element of the ring of dual numbers $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_2] \simeq \mathbb{Z}[\theta]/(\theta^2 - 1)$, and often is denoted as a pair $(n|m)$, where n and m are dimensions of the even and odd parts respectively.

It follows from (3) that $\varepsilon(\alpha, \alpha) = \pm 1$ for all $\alpha \in G$. This means that there is a homomorphism $G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ with the kernel $G_{\text{even}} = \{\alpha \in G \mid \varepsilon(\alpha, \alpha) = 1\}$ and non-trivial conjugacy class $G_{\text{odd}} = \{\alpha \in G \mid \varepsilon(\alpha, \alpha) = -1\}$, and $G = G_{\text{even}} \cup G_{\text{odd}}$. Note that the order $|\alpha|$ of each element $\alpha \in G_{\text{odd}}$ is even, provided it is finite. Denoting $L_i = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in G_i} L_\alpha$, $i \in \{\text{even}, \text{odd}\}$, we have $L = L_{\text{even}} \oplus L_{\text{odd}}$. Subspaces L_{even} and L_{odd} (in fact, L_{even} is a subalgebra) are called *even part* and *odd part* of L respectively[†]. Thus, each color Lie algebra could be considered as a superspace (but, in general, not as a Lie superalgebra), and has a corresponding dimension, which will be called *superdimension* and denoted as $\dim_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$. Clearly, by what is written at the end of the previous section, the superdimension is obtained from the color dimension by summing up dimensions of all odd and even homogeneous graded components respectively.

There is straightforward notion of a color module M over a color Lie algebra L (the action of L on M preserves G -grading). A *cohomology* of a color Lie algebra L with coefficients in the color module M is defined as cohomology of the *color Chevalley-Eilenberg complex*

$$C^0(L, M) \rightarrow C^1(L, M) \rightarrow C^2(L, M) \rightarrow \dots$$

where $C^n(L, M)$ is the $G \times \mathbb{N}$ -color space of n -fold multilinear ε -skew-symmetric maps from L to M (the G -grading is inherited from L and M , and the $\mathbb{N}$ -grading is the usual cohomology degree), and differential is defined by the long formula containing a lot of confusing signs, generalizing the differential in the ordinary

[†] In many texts the even and odd parts of a color Lie algebra L are denoted as L_0 and L_1 respectively. In our notations L_0 is a genuine “zero part”, a homogeneous component corresponding to the zero element $0 \in G$. Of course, when L is a superalgebra, $L_0 = L_{\text{even}}$, but in general L_0 is a direct summand of L_{even} .

Chevalley-Eilenberg cochain complex (whose exact appearance is inessential to us here due to wonders of invariance of the Euler-Poincaré characteristic under the operation of taking cohomology, see Lemma 4 below). The space $C^n(L, M)$ may be canonically identified with $\text{Hom}(\bigwedge_\varepsilon^n L, M)$, where $\bigwedge_\varepsilon^n L$ is the n -fold ε -exterior power of the color space L . Note that the latter is also a G -color vector space, the grading is defined by adding the gradings of all factors.

One has

$$(7) \quad \bigwedge_\varepsilon^*(V \oplus W) \simeq (\bigwedge_\varepsilon^* V) \hat{\otimes} (\bigwedge_\varepsilon^* W)$$

as $G \times \mathbb{N}$ -color vector spaces. Here $\hat{\otimes}$ denotes the tensor product twisted by a commutation factor which is obtained by combining the commutation factor ε with another one obtained by the standard formula (6) from the $\mathbb{N}$ -gradation. Note, however, that this twisting obviously does not affect the dimension of color spaces to be tensored, i.e.

$$(8) \quad \dim_{G \times \mathbb{N}}(V \otimes W) = \dim_{G \times \mathbb{N}}(V \hat{\otimes} W)$$

for any two color vector spaces V and W . Applying the isomorphism (7) to the whole color Lie algebra $L = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in G} L_\alpha$, and noting that the ε -exterior power coincides with the ordinary exterior power and with the ordinary symmetric power for even and odd components respectively, one get the isomorphism of $G \times \mathbb{N}$ -color vector spaces:

$$(9) \quad \bigwedge_\varepsilon^* L \simeq \widehat{\bigotimes}_{\alpha \in G_{\text{even}}} \bigwedge^*(L_\alpha) \hat{\otimes} \widehat{\bigotimes}_{\alpha \in G_{\text{odd}}} S^*(L_\alpha).$$

3. THE EULER-POINCARÉ CHARACTERISTIC

Let $V = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} V_n$ be a (possibly infinite-dimensional) $\mathbb{N}$ -graded G -color vector space (i.e. each homogeneous component $V_n = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in G} V_{\alpha, n}$ is a G -color vector space), all whose graded components are finite-dimensional. It can be considered as a $G \times \mathbb{N}$ -color vector space $V = \bigoplus_{(\alpha, n) \in G \times \mathbb{N}} V_{\alpha, n}$.

Consider $\dim_{G \times \mathbb{N}} V$. Applying Lemma 2 and writing $\mathbb{N}$ as multiplicative group generated by a single element t of infinite order, one can write this dimension as a formal power series

$$(10) \quad \sum_{n \geq 0} (\dim_G V_n) t^n.$$

It lies in $\mathbb{Z}[G][t]$, denoted as $\chi_G(V, t)$ and is called a *Poincaré series*[†] of V .

Now we want to define the Euler-Poincaré characteristic of a color space V , having the cohomology of color Lie algebras in mind. A (naive) Lie-algebraic approach would be to define it as a series

$$(11) \quad \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n \dim_G V_n,$$

obtained by substituting $t = -1$ in the Poincaré series. When V is the cohomology of a finite-dimensional Lie algebra L , this is all right: due to anticommutativity of L the standard Chevalley-Eilenberg complex is finite, and the sum is finite.

This is not so, however, for Lie superalgebras, due to presence of the odd part: the cochain complex is infinite, so one could try to make sense of (11) by considering

[†] Sometimes in the literature one calls this *Hilbert series*, leaving the term *Poincaré series* for the specific situation of cohomology space graded by cohomology degree.

its convergence in the group ring $\mathbb{R}[G]$. We will understand the convergence of sequences (and series) with elements in $\mathbb{R}[G]$ (considered for that matter as the set of functions with a finite support on G) in the sense of the weak convergence, what is equivalent to the G -componentwise convergence. Since all terms of the series lie in $\mathbb{Z}[G]$, the convergence implies that for each $\alpha \in G$, $V_{\alpha,n}$ vanishes for all but a finite number of n 's. This does not necessarily mean that $V_{\alpha,n}$ vanish uniformly over G , but this is of course so if G is finite, so in that case the convergence of (11) implies the finite-dimensionality of V . This is anything but interesting as it breaks our scheme below of passing from cohomology to the underlying cochain complex.

The situation would not be much eased even if we consider in (11) the ordinary dimension instead of the color dimension: the series

$$(12) \quad \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n \dim V_n,$$

still diverges (in $\mathbb{R}$) unless V_n is zero for all but a finite number of n 's.

Thus, the series (11) or (12) diverge at least in the most interesting cases, and to make a sense of them, one should apply one of the summation methods of divergent series.

We choose the Abel summation, for three reasons. First, to justify the title of this note. Consider the simplest example of the $(0|1)$ -dimensional Lie superalgebra (zero even component, 1-dimensional odd component, and the trivial multiplication) with the trivial $(1|0)$ -dimensional module. The coboundaries are zero, so cohomology coincides with the whole cochain complex, which has dimension $(1|0)$ in each even component and dimension $(0|1)$ in each odd component. The infinite sum (12) in this situation becomes $1 - 1 + 1 - \dots$. But it was Euler who first successfully and methodically computed sums like this, and it is merely a matter of chance that this particular summation method is named after Abel (who considered the idea of summation of divergent series with disgust)[†].

Second, the Abel summation method is powerful enough, at least it is more powerful than the most popular and simple methods.

Third, this method makes a perfect connection with the formal series (10), so the Euler-Poincaré characteristic, like in the finite Lie-algebraic situation, still obtained in a very straightforward way from the Poincaré series, which, in its turn, is nothing else than a properly understood color dimension[‡].

So, following Euler (and, more lately, Berger, Leinster, Propp and others, who applied summation of divergent Euler-Poincaré-like series to other situations arising in geometry and category theory – see, for example, [BL] and references therein), we will cheat a bit by considering a formal parameter t in (10) as lying in $\mathbb{R}$, and

[†] Of course, Euler got his share of fame also here, and there is another summation method named after him.

[‡] There is another popular summation method, more powerful than the Abel summation, and still having advantage to be intimately related to the formal series (10) – by analytic continuation: if we consider the parameter t in (10) as lying in $\mathbb{C}$, and the radius of convergence of this series happens to be non-zero (but possibly < 1), then it defines an analytic function in some circle which, perhaps, could be analytically prolonged to the point $t = -1$. However, all the (infinite) series we are dealing with here have the radius of convergence equal to 1, and in such case these two methods are equivalent. See question 2 in §6.

considering

$$(13) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow -1+0} \sum_{n \geq 0} (\dim_G V_n) t^n.$$

If exists, this limit, lying in $\mathbb{R}[G]$, will be called the *Euler-Poincaré characteristic* of a color space V and will be denoted as $\chi_G(V)$.

If, in (10) and (13), instead of G -dimension we will consider ordinary or super dimension, we will arrive to the notion of *ordinary* or *super Poincaré series* and *Euler-Poincaré characteristic* of a color vector space V , denoted by $\chi(V, t)$, $\chi_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(V, t)$ and $\chi(V)$, $\chi_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(V)$, respectively (as in the case of $\dim$, we omit the subscript in the ordinary case, when the group G is trivial). Note that the existence of $\chi_G(V)$ implies the existence of $\chi_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(V)$ which, in turn, implies the existence of $\chi(V)$, but the opposite implications are, in general, not true: for example, for the just considered cochain complex of the abelian $(0|1)$ -dimensional Lie superalgebra with coefficients in the trivial $(1|0)$ -dimensional module, the ordinary Euler-Poincaré characteristic is equal to $\frac{1}{2}$, while the super Euler-Poincaré characteristic does not exist: the super Poincaré series has the form $\frac{1-t\theta}{1-t^2}$.

A *Poincaré series* and *Euler-Poincaré characteristic* of a color Lie algebra L and an L -module M , denoted as $\chi_G(L, M, t)$ and $\chi_G(L, M)$ respectively, defined as Poincaré series and Euler-Poincaré characteristic of a cohomology space $H^*(L, M)$ (considered with the standard grading by cohomology degree). As noted above, in the case of an ordinary Lie algebra L , the series involved degenerate to finite sums, and our definition coincides with the standard one. The ordinary and super variants $\chi(L, M, t)$, $\chi_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(L, M, t)$ and $\chi(L, M)$, $\chi_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(L, M)$ are defined analogously.

Lemma 3. *Let C^* be a color cochain complex all whose graded components are finite-dimensional, B^* be the space of its coboundaries. Then*

$$(14) \quad \chi_G(C^*, t) - \chi_G(H(C^*), t) = (1+t)\chi_G(B^*, t).$$

Proof. Denote, additionally, by Z^* the space of cocycles. There are two short exact sequences of $G \times \mathbb{N}$ -color vector spaces:

$$(15) \quad 0 \rightarrow Z^* \rightarrow C^* \rightarrow B^*[1] \rightarrow 0$$

$$(16) \quad 0 \rightarrow B^* \rightarrow Z^* \rightarrow H(C^*) \rightarrow 0$$

($B^*[1]$ is a graded space obtained from B^* by shifting grading to 1 forwards).

By Lemma 1(i),

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_G(C^*, t) &= \chi_G(Z^*, t) + t\chi_G(B^*, t) \\ \chi_G(Z^*, t) &= \chi_G(B^*, t) + \chi_G(H(C^*), t) \end{aligned}$$

what yields the desired equality.

This essentially constitutes the first part of the proof in [Go], and is a well-known argument in homological algebra (see, for example, [Bou, §2.8]). $\square$

Lemma 4. *Let C^* be a color cochain complex all whose graded components are finite-dimensional, B^* be the space of its coboundaries. If both $\chi_G(C^*)$ and $\chi_G(B^*)$ exist, then $\chi_G(H(C^*))$ exists and coincides with $\chi_G(C^*)$.*

Proof. This amounts to “substituting” $t = -1$ into (14). However, as we are dealing with infinite series, the actual arguments would be a bit more careful as follows.

The existence of $\chi_G(C^*)$ implies that the radius of convergence of the series $\sum_{n \geq 0} (\dim C_\alpha^n) t^n$ for each $\alpha \in G$ is ≥ 1 . Thus

$$1 \leq \frac{1}{\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{\dim C_\alpha^n}} \leq \frac{1}{\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{\dim H_\alpha^n}}$$

which shows that the radius of convergence of the series $\sum_{n \geq 0} (\dim H_\alpha^n) t^n$ is also ≥ 1 . Hence, for each $|t| < 1$, the series $\sum_{n \geq 0} (\dim_G H^n) t^n$ converges to some element from $\mathbb{R}[G]$. Now assuming in (14) $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and passing on both sides to $\lim_{t \rightarrow -1+0}$, we get

$$\chi_G(C^*) - \chi_G(H(C^*)) = \lim_{t \rightarrow -1+0} (1+t) \chi_G(B^*) = 0.$$

The existence of $\chi_G(C^*)$ and $\chi_G(B^*)$ implies the existence of $\chi_G(H(C^*))$, and the statement of the lemma follows. $\square$

In what follows, $T^*(V)$ denotes, as usual, the tensor algebra generated by a vector space V , with a standard $\mathbb{N}$ -grading.

Lemma 5. *Let G be a finite abelian group, V be a G -color vector space whose dimension is concentrated in one degree e^α , and W be a subspace (as $G \times \mathbb{N}$ -color vector space) of $T^*(V)$. Then*

$$\chi_G(W, t) = \frac{1}{|\alpha|} \sum_{i=0}^{|\alpha|-1} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{|\alpha|-1} \frac{\chi(W, \omega^j t)}{\omega^{ij}} \right) e^{i\alpha},$$

where ω is a primitive $|\alpha|$ th root of unity.

Proof. Let $W = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} W_n$ be decomposition of W as a subspace of $T^*(V)$ with respect to $\mathbb{N}$ -grading. Since dimension of the n -fold tensor product $V \otimes \cdots \otimes V$ is concentrated in one degree $e^{n\alpha}$, this decomposition yields

$$\chi_G(W, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\dim W_n) e^{n\alpha} t^n$$

and

$$\chi(W, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\dim W_n) t^n.$$

Since $|\alpha|$ is finite and different from zero, $\chi_G(W, t)$ could be rewritten as

$$\sum_{i=0}^{|\alpha|-1} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\dim W_{kn+i}) t^{kn+i} \right) e^{i\alpha},$$

the coefficients of $e^{i\alpha}$ being exactly multisections ([R, §4.3]) of the power series $\chi(W, t)$, what implies the desired formula. $\square$

To formulate some of the next technical results, we will need the following auxiliary notation. Fix an element $\alpha \in G$ of a finite order and consider a finite-dimensional G -color vector space W having dimension 1 in each degree $e^{i\alpha}$. The Euler-Poincaré characteristic of W is equal to $\sum_{i=0}^{|\alpha|-1} (-1)^i e^{i\alpha}$, and its “scaled” variant $\frac{1}{|\alpha|} \sum_{i=0}^{|\alpha|-1} (-1)^i e^{i\alpha}$ will be denoted as $\bar{\chi}(\alpha)$. Like the “normal” Euler-Poincaré characteristic, it lies in the group ring $\mathbb{Z}[G]$.

Lemma 6. *Let L be a finite-dimensional color Lie algebra.*

(i) $\chi(\bigwedge_\varepsilon^* L)$ exists and is equal to

$$\begin{cases} 0, & L_{\text{even}} \neq 0 \\ \frac{1}{2^{\dim L_{\text{odd}}}}, & L_{\text{even}} = 0. \end{cases}$$

(ii) $\chi_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\bigwedge_\varepsilon^* L)$ exists if and only if $\dim L_{\text{even}} \geq \dim L_{\text{odd}}$ and is equal to

$$\begin{cases} 0, & \dim L_{\text{even}} > \dim L_{\text{odd}} \\ \left(\frac{1-\theta}{2}\right)^{|G_{\text{odd}}|}, & \dim L_{\text{even}} = \dim L_{\text{odd}}. \end{cases}$$

(iii) $\chi_G(\bigwedge_\varepsilon^* L)$ exists if and only if $\dim L_0 \geq \dim L_{\text{odd}}$ and is equal to

$$\begin{cases} 0, & \dim L_0 > \dim L_{\text{odd}} \\ \prod_{0 \neq \alpha \in G_{\text{even}}} (1 - e^\alpha)^{\dim L_\alpha} \prod_{\alpha \in G_{\text{odd}}} \bar{\chi}(\alpha), & \dim L_0 = \dim L_{\text{odd}}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. In view of (9), (8) and Lemma 1(ii),

$$(17) \quad \chi_G(\bigwedge_\varepsilon^* L, t) = \prod_{\alpha \in G_{\text{even}}} \chi_G(\bigwedge^*(L_\alpha), t) \prod_{\alpha \in G_{\text{odd}}} \chi_G(S^*(L_\alpha), t),$$

same for χ and $\chi_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$. Each complex $\bigwedge^*(L_\alpha)$ is finite, hence its Poincaré series reduces to the finite sum

$$(18) \quad \chi_G(\bigwedge^*(L_\alpha), t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\dim L_\alpha} \dim \bigwedge^n(L_\alpha) e^{n\alpha} t^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\dim L_\alpha} \binom{\dim L_\alpha}{n} e^{n\alpha} t^n = (1 + te^\alpha)^{\dim L_\alpha},$$

and, since $\alpha \in G_{\text{even}}$,

$$(19) \quad \chi(\bigwedge^*(L_\alpha), t) = \chi_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\bigwedge^*(L_\alpha), t) = (1 + t)^{\dim L_\alpha}.$$

However, for the reason which would be clear in a few seconds, we will treat this expression also in a bit more cumbersome way. Since L is finite-dimensional, G is finite, so applying Lemma 5 we get

$$(20) \quad \chi_G(\bigwedge^*(L_\alpha), t) = \frac{1}{|\alpha|} \sum_{i=0}^{|\alpha|-1} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{|\alpha|-1} \frac{(1 + \omega_\alpha^j t)^{\dim L_\alpha}}{\omega_\alpha^{ij}} \right) e^{i\alpha},$$

ω_α is a primitive $|\alpha|$ th root of unity.

Similarly,

$$(21) \quad \chi_G(S^*(L_\alpha), t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \dim S^n(L_\alpha) e^{n\alpha} t^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{\dim L_\alpha + n - 1}{n} e^{n\alpha} t^n$$

what implies

$$(22) \quad \chi(S^*(L_\alpha), t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{\dim L_\alpha + n - 1}{n} t^n = \frac{1}{(1-t)^{\dim L_\alpha}},$$

and application of Lemma 5 yields

$$(23) \quad \chi_G(S^*(L_\alpha), t) = \frac{1}{|\alpha|} \sum_{i=0}^{|\alpha|-1} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{|\alpha|-1} \frac{1}{\omega_\alpha^{ij} (1 - \omega_\alpha^j t)^{\dim L_\alpha}} \right) e^{i\alpha}$$

and

$$(24) \quad \chi_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\mathbf{S}^*(L_\alpha), t) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{(1-t)^{\dim L_\alpha}} + \frac{1}{(1+t)^{\dim L_\alpha}} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{(1-t)^{\dim L_\alpha}} - \frac{1}{(1+t)^{\dim L_\alpha}} \right) \theta.$$

Now, putting (17), (19) and (22) together, we get:

$$(25) \quad \chi(\bigwedge_\varepsilon^* L, t) = \frac{(1+t)^{\sum_{\alpha \in G_{\text{even}}} \dim L_\alpha}}{(1-t)^{\sum_{\alpha \in G_{\text{odd}}} \dim L_\alpha}} = \frac{(1+t)^{\dim L_{\text{even}}}}{(1-t)^{\dim L_{\text{odd}}}},$$

and part (i) follows. This is, essentially, the second part of the arguments in [Go] (somewhat obscured by the presence of odd components), and finishes the ordinary Lie algebra case. The formula (25) was also noted in [PS, Proposition 12].

Similarly, collecting (17), (19) and (24), we get a formula for $\chi_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\bigwedge_\varepsilon^* L, t)$, which is, however, more cumbersome. Fortunately, we do not need to care about the exact expression, but merely note that it could be written as the sum of terms of the form

$$\pm \frac{1}{2^{|G_{\text{odd}}|}} \frac{(1+t)^{\dim L_{\text{even}}-a}}{(1-t)^b} \quad \text{and} \quad \pm \frac{1}{2^{|G_{\text{odd}}|}} \frac{(1+t)^{\dim L_{\text{even}}-c}}{(1-t)^d} \theta,$$

where a, b, c, d are sums of dimensions of homogeneous components L_α for certain combinations of $\alpha \in G_{\text{odd}}$, with terms attaining the maximal possible value $\dim L_{\text{odd}}$ for a and c , being obtained by picking the summands $\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(1+t)^{\dim L_\alpha}}$ and $-\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(1+t)^{\dim L_\alpha}} \theta$ from the product of terms (24) for all $\alpha \in G_{\text{odd}}$. The sum of all such terms is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(1+t)^{\dim L_{\text{even}} - \dim L_{\text{odd}}}}{2^{|G_{\text{odd}}|}} \sum_{i=0}^{|G_{\text{odd}}|} \binom{|G_{\text{odd}}|}{i} (-1)^i \theta^i \\ & = (1+t)^{\dim L_{\text{even}} - \dim L_{\text{odd}}} \left(\frac{1-\theta}{2} \right)^{|G_{\text{odd}}|}. \end{aligned}$$

From here part (ii) follows.

The general color case is yet more cumbersome, but similar. Combining (17), (20) and (23), we should care about denominators in (23) vanishing for $t = -1$. The corresponding summands in (23) obtained for $j = |\alpha|/2$ (recall that since $\alpha \in G_{\text{odd}}$, $|\alpha|$ is even) and are of the form

$$\frac{(-1)^i}{|\alpha|} \frac{1}{(1+t)^{\dim L_\alpha}} e^{i\alpha}, \quad i = 0, \dots, |\alpha| - 1.$$

The maximal possible value of a power of $(1+t)$ in denominator is attained when we pick these summands from the product of terms (23) for all $\alpha \in G_{\text{odd}}$. The sum of all such terms is equal to

$$(26) \quad \frac{1}{(1+t)^{\dim L_{\text{odd}}}} \prod_{\alpha \in G_{\text{odd}}} \bar{\chi}(\alpha).$$

This could be compensated only by factors from (20) having a power of $(1+t)$ in numerator, which are obtained for $j = 0$. The *minimal* possible value $\dim L_0$ for a power of $(1+t)$ in numerator is attained when we pick the only possible summand $(1+t)^{\dim L_0}$ corresponding to $0 \in G$, and any summand *not* containing a power of $(1+t)$ for all other $0 \neq \alpha \in G_{\text{even}}$ (that is, any summand with $j \neq 0$). Therefore, the resulting expression is equal to the product of all terms (20) (or,

what is equivalent, (18)) for all $\alpha \in G_{\text{even}}$, minus product of terms with $j = 0$ for at least one $\alpha \neq 0$:

$$(27) \quad (1+t)^{\dim L_0} \prod_{0 \neq \alpha \in G_{\text{even}}} \left((1+te^\alpha)^{\dim L_\alpha} - \frac{1}{|\alpha|} (1+t)^{\dim L_\alpha} \sum_{i=0}^{|\alpha|-1} e^{i\alpha} \right).$$

Comparison of the limiting behavior at $t \rightarrow -1$ of (26) and (27) completes the proof. $\square$

4. SO, WHEN IT INDEED VANISHES?

Finally, we arrive to our main result:

Theorem 1. *Let L be a finite-dimensional color Lie algebra, M be a finite-dimensional L -module, and B^* be the space of coboundaries in the corresponding color Chevalley-Eilenberg complex.*

(i) *If $\chi(B^*)$ exists, then $\chi(L, M)$ exists and is equal to*

$$\begin{cases} 0, & L_{\text{even}} \neq 0 \\ \frac{\dim M}{2^{\dim L_{\text{odd}}}}, & L_{\text{even}} = 0. \end{cases}$$

(ii) *If $\chi_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(B^*)$ exists and $\dim L_{\text{even}} \geq \dim L_{\text{odd}}$, then $\chi_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(L, M)$ exists and is equal to*

$$\begin{cases} 0, & \dim L_{\text{even}} > \dim L_{\text{odd}} \\ \left(\frac{1-\theta}{2}\right)^{|G_{\text{odd}}|} \dim_{\mathbb{Z}_2} M, & \dim L_{\text{even}} = \dim L_{\text{odd}}. \end{cases}$$

(iii) *If $\chi_G(B^*)$ exists and $\dim L_0 \geq \dim L_{\text{odd}}$, then $\chi_G(L, M)$ exists and is equal to*

$$\begin{cases} 0, & \dim L_0 > \dim L_{\text{odd}} \\ \prod_{0 \neq \alpha \in G_{\text{even}}} (1 - e^\alpha)^{\dim L_\alpha} \prod_{\alpha \in G_{\text{odd}}} \bar{\chi}(\alpha) \dim_G M, & \dim L_0 = \dim L_{\text{odd}}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let us prove part (iii). By Lemma 6(iii), $\chi_G(\bigwedge_\varepsilon^* L)$ exists. By Lemma 1(iii), $\chi_G(C^*(L, K))$ exists and coincides with $\chi_G(\bigwedge_\varepsilon^* L)$. Then by Lemma 1(ii), $\chi_G(C^*(L, M))$ exists and is equal to $\chi_G(C^*(L, K)) \dim_G M$. Finally, by Lemma 4, $\chi_G(L, M)$ exists and coincides with $\chi_G(C^*(L, M))$, what finishes the proof.

Parts (i) and (ii) are proved by the same implications, replacing χ_G by χ and by $\chi_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ respectively. $\square$

Corollary. *Let L be a finite-dimensional color Lie algebra, M be a finite-dimensional L -module such that cohomology $H^*(L, M)$ is finite-dimensional.*

- (i) *If $L_{\text{even}} \neq 0$, then $\chi(L, M) = 0$.*
- (ii) *If $\dim L_{\text{even}} > \dim L_{\text{odd}}$, then $\chi_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(L, M) = 0$.*
- (iii) *If $\dim L_0 > \dim L_{\text{odd}}$, then $\chi_G(L, M) = 0$.*

Proof. Let us, again, prove part (iii). $\chi_G(L, M)$, being a limit of a finite sum, obviously exists, and in view of Theorem 1, it is sufficient to prove the existence of $\chi_G(B^*)$. As ignoring the fixed number of initial terms of a series does not affect its convergence, for this purpose we may ignore all initial terms up to the degree of the highest nonzero cohomology, and assume $H^* = 0$ and $Z^* = B^*$. Then by Lemma 3, $\chi_G(C^*, t) = (1+t)\chi_G(B^*, t)$, and by Lemma 1(iii), $\chi_G(C^*, t) = \chi_G(\bigwedge_\varepsilon^* L, t)$. Hence, for the Poincaré series of B^* the same counting of powers of $(1+t)$ as for

$\bigwedge_\varepsilon^* L$ in the proof of Lemma 6 applies, but shifted by -1 , and the necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of $\chi_G(B^*)$ is $\dim L_0 \geq \dim L_{\text{odd}} + 1$, what finishes the proof.

And, again, parts (i) and (ii) are absolutely similar. $\square$

5. ANOTHER METHOD TO THE RESCUE?

So, after all, the Abel summation turned out to be not so powerful for our purposes: according to Lemma 6, the Euler-Poincaré characteristic of the (co)chain Chevalley-Eilenberg complex does not exist in many cases. So, perhaps, we should try another method?

For the basic facts concerning summation of divergent series we refer to the classical book [H]. However, since that time some modern terminology has been adopted, which we briefly remind here.

A summation method (S) , assigning to some (divergent) series with real coefficients $\sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n$ a real value, denoted by $(S) \sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n$, is called *regular*, if any convergent series is summable by this method to its limit, *additive* if from summability of series $\sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n$ and $\sum_{n=0}^\infty b_n$ follows summability of their linear combination $\sum_{n=0}^\infty (\lambda a_n + \mu b_n)$ for any $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$, and

$$(S) \sum_{n=0}^\infty (\lambda a_n + \mu b_n) = \lambda \cdot (S) \sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n + \mu \cdot (S) \sum_{n=0}^\infty b_n$$

holds, *multiplicative* if from summability of series $\sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n$ and $\sum_{n=0}^\infty b_n$ follows summability of their (Cauchy) product $\sum_{n=0}^\infty c_n = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i b_{n-i} \right)$, and

$$(S) \sum_{n=0}^\infty c_n = (S) \sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n \cdot (S) \sum_{n=0}^\infty b_n$$

holds, and *left-translative* if from summability of series $\sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n$ follows summability of the series $\sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n$, and

$$(S) \sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n = -a_0 + (S) \sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n$$

holds.

Let (S) be a summation method. If we can sum, G -componentwise, the series (11) by this method, we will call the resulting sum (which is still an element of $\mathbb{R}[G]$) an *Euler-Poincaré characteristic in the sense of (S)* and denote it by $\chi_G^{(S)}$ (so, the Euler-Poincaré characteristic defined in §3 becomes the Euler-Poincaré characteristic in the sense of Abel). To be consistent with the usual (finite) notion of the Euler-Poincaré characteristic, the method should be regular.

In this general situation, the nice conceptual link to Poincaré series is lost. However, essentially the same reasonings as in §§3 and 4 could be used to prove similar results.

Lemma 7. *Let (S) be a linear and left-translative summation method, C^* be a color cochain complex all whose graded components are finite-dimensional, and B^* be the space of its coboundaries. If both $\chi_G^{(S)}(C^*)$ and $\chi_G^{(S)}(B^*)$ exist, then $\chi_G^{(S)}(H(C^*))$ exists and coincides with $\chi_G^{(S)}(C^*)$.*

Proof. By linearity, (15) and (16) imply respectively:

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_G^{(S)}(C^*) &= \chi_G^{(S)}(Z^*) + \chi_G^{(S)}(B^*[1]) \\ \chi_G^{(S)}(Z^*) &= \chi_G^{(S)}(B^*) + \chi_G^{(S)}(H(C^*)).\end{aligned}$$

By left-translativity, $\chi_G^{(S)}(B^*[1]) = \dim_G B_0 - \chi_G^{(S)}(B^*) = -\chi_G^{(S)}(B^*)$, so the first equality implies existence of $\chi_G^{(S)}(Z^*)$, then the second one implies existence of $\chi_G^{(S)}(H(C^*))$, and together they imply $\chi_G^{(S)}(H(C^*)) = \chi_G^{(S)}(C^*)$. $\square$

Lemma 8. *Let (S) be a regular and multiplicative summation method, and L be a finite-dimensional color Lie algebra. If for any $\alpha \in G_{\text{odd}}$ and any $0 \leq n < |\alpha|$, the series $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{\dim L_\alpha + |\alpha|i + n - 1}{|\alpha|i + n}$ is (S) -summable, then $\chi_G^{(S)}(\bigwedge_\varepsilon^* L)$ exists. If additionally, $L_0 \neq 0$, then $\chi_G^{(S)}(\bigwedge_\varepsilon^* L) = 0$.*

Proof. By multiplicativity,

$$(28) \quad \chi_G^{(S)}(V \otimes W) = \chi_G^{(S)}(V) \chi_G^{(S)}(W)$$

for any two G -color vector spaces, with existence of the Euler-Poincaré characteristics on the right side implies the existence of Euler-Poincaré characteristics on the left side. Note that, unlike (8), this is no longer a sole consequence of multiplication rule in a group ring, but follows from the rule of series multiplication and postulated multiplicativity of (S) . Twisting of tensor product (like in §2) obviously does not affect the left side of (28), so the same equality holds for the twisted tensor product $\widehat{\otimes}$.

Now, (9) implies, in a fashion similar to (17):

$$\chi_G^{(S)}(\bigwedge_\varepsilon^* L) = \prod_{\alpha \in G_{\text{even}}} \chi_G^{(S)}(\bigwedge^* (L_\alpha)) \prod_{\alpha \in G_{\text{odd}}} \chi_G^{(S)}(\mathbf{S}^*(L_\alpha)).$$

By regularity, and similar to (18):

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_G^{(S)}(\bigwedge^* (L_\alpha)) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\dim L_\alpha} (-1)^n \dim \bigwedge^n (L_\alpha) e^{n\alpha} = \sum_{n=0}^{\dim L_\alpha} (-1)^n \binom{\dim L_\alpha}{n} e^{n\alpha} \\ &= (1 - e^\alpha)^{\dim L_\alpha}\end{aligned}$$

what vanishes for $\alpha = 0$, so to establish the desired conclusions it is sufficient to prove the existence of $\chi_G^{(S)}(\mathbf{S}^*(L_\alpha))$'s.

Similarly to (21), we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_G^{(S)}(\mathbf{S}^*(L_\alpha)) &= (S) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \dim \mathbf{S}^n(L_\alpha) e^{n\alpha} \\ &= (S) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \binom{\dim L_\alpha + n - 1}{n} e^{n\alpha},\end{aligned}$$

what implies, similar to (23) (but we cannot use multisections anymore, as denominator in the corresponding expression vanishes):

$$\chi_G^{(S)}(\mathbf{S}^*(L_\alpha)) = \sum_{n=0}^{|\alpha|-1} (-1)^n \left((S) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{\dim L_\alpha + |\alpha|i + n - 1}{|\alpha|i + n} \right) e^{n\alpha},$$

but these sums exist by the assumption. $\square$

The just proved Lemma is very far from being the strongest result in this direction: we were lazy and treated each $\chi_G^{(S)}(\mathbf{S}^*(L_\alpha))$ separately, while, intermixing the powers $e^{n\alpha}$ for different $\alpha \in G_{\text{odd}}$ could lead to more relaxed sufficient conditions. Our purpose was merely to demonstrate that, choosing a strong enough summation method, we may achieve existence (and vanishing in the generic case) of the Euler-Poincaré characteristic in the sense of this method. Note that comparing Lemmata 6 and 8 reveals that any such method would be necessarily incompatible with the Abel summation, as there are situations in which the Euler-Poincaré characteristic does not vanish in one sense and vanishes in another.

Theorem 2. *Let L be a finite-dimensional color Lie algebra, M be a finite-dimensional L -module, B^* be the space of coboundaries in the corresponding color Chevalley-Eilenberg complex, and (S) be a regular, additive, multiplicative and left-translative summation method. Suppose that $\chi_G^{(S)}(B^*)$ exists and that the summability assumption of Lemma 8 holds. Then $\chi_G^{(S)}(L, M)$ exists. If, additionally, $L_0 \neq 0$, then $\chi_G^{(S)}(L, M) = 0$.*

Proof. The proof repeats the proof of Theorem 1, with the difference that, again, instead of appealing to Lemma 1, we use equality (28) and an obvious equality $\chi_G^{(S)}(M) = \dim_G M$ which follows from the regularity. $\square$

Note, however, that we cannot derive an analog of Corollary to Theorem 1.

6. FURTHER QUESTIONS

1. Could we get rid of the condition of existence of $\chi_G(B^*)$ in Theorem 1 or Theorem 2? (From the proof of Lemma 3 it is clear that this is equivalent to the existence of $\chi_G(Z^*)$). This is so, for example, for all color Lie algebras of ordinary dimension 3, as follows from the results of [PS][†].

2. What happens when we are unable to apply the passing-to-the-underlying-cochain-complex argument? This applies both to the finite-dimensional case when the Euler-Poincaré characteristic of the underlying cochain complex does not exist (i.e. when conditions on dimensions in Theorem 1 and its Corollary are not satisfied), and to the infinite-dimensional case (i.e. when either a Lie superalgebra, or its module, or both, are infinite-dimensional). In such situations, it seems that we inevitably have to avoid tricks and start to do a real job by looking at cohomology itself. Perhaps a not very deep conjecture would be:

Conjecture 1. *Let L be a (possibly infinite-dimensional) G -color Lie algebra with a “large enough” zero part, and M be a (possibly infinite-dimensional) L -module such that cohomology $H^*(L, M)$ is finite-dimensional in each degree. Then $\chi_G(L, M)$ exists and vanishes.*

The question seems to be nontrivial (and apparently never investigated) already in the very particular cases: for an infinite-dimensional ordinary Lie algebra L , and when the whole cohomology $H^*(L, M)$ of a color Lie algebra L is finite-dimensional (i.e. vanishes for all but the finite number of degrees; the Euler-Poincaré characteristic then clearly exists).

[†]There is a misprint in [PS]: in the Table 1, for algebra 1, in the case $\mu = -1$, the (ordinary) Poincaré series should be $1 + z + z^2 + z^3$.

There are numerous examples of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras of vector fields and close to them, satisfying the condition of the conjecture (see the classical book [F] and a more recent compendium of results in [FF, Chapter 3]), and it seems that in all the cases when cohomology is known, the conjecture holds.

Still, may be this conjecture is too optimistic: it implies, in particular, that the radius of convergence of the Poincaré series of any algebra which satisfies the condition of the conjecture, is equal to 1. So, it would be more cautious to ask first whether this is indeed so. However, if we no longer deal with the Euler-Poincaré characteristic, but with entire Poincaré series, there is no need to assume restriction on dimensions of the parts of an algebra. Thus, a more appropriate formulation of this cautious question, which we learned from Dmitri Piontkovski, reads: does there exist a G -color Lie algebra L and an L -module M such that cohomology $H^*(L, M)$ is finite-dimensional in each degree and the radius of convergence of some G -component of $\chi_G(L, M, t)$ is < 1 ? (The latter condition may be reformulated as follows: some G -component of $\dim_G H^n(L, M)$ grows exponentially with n).

Another, significantly weakened, form of this conjecture may be obtained by observing that in all the established cases the Euler-Poincaré characteristic, even when it does not vanish, involves elements $\bar{\chi}(\alpha)$ which are zero divisors in $\mathbb{Z}[G]$. This leads to the following

Conjecture 2. *Let G be abelian group with torsion, L be a (possibly infinite-dimensional) G -color Lie algebra with a “large enough” zero part, and M be a (possibly infinite-dimensional) L -module such that cohomology $H^*(L, M)$ is finite-dimensional in each degree. Then $\chi_G(L, M)$ exists and is a zero divisor in $\mathcal{C}[G]$.*

3. Could reasonings based on the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence, which are redundant in the ordinary Lie algebra case, be useful in the super or color case?

4. Another approach to the Euler-Poincaré characteristic may be pursued via Poincaré duality. It seems to be interesting to investigate what would be a Poincaré duality analogue for a Lie superalgebra cohomology (if any)?

5. In the true Eulerian spirit, instead of using Lemma 5, one may wish to write $\chi_G(W, t) = \chi(W, te^\alpha)$. In particular, (21) could be rewritten in this way as

$$\chi_G(S^*(L_\alpha), t) = \frac{1}{(1 - te^\alpha)^{\dim L_\alpha}},$$

and then the whole Poincaré series of the color Chevalley-Eilenberg chain complex acquires the attractive shape

$$(29) \quad \frac{\prod_{\alpha \in G_{even}} (1 + te^\alpha)^{\dim L_\alpha}}{\prod_{\alpha \in G_{odd}} (1 - te^\alpha)^{\dim L_\alpha}}$$

which, perhaps, gives a chance to avoid cumbersome reasonings based on multisection of series. To make any sense of this, one should consider Poincaré series and Euler-Poincaré characteristic with values in something like the ring of quotients of the completion of $\mathbb{R}[G]$, and allow limiting processes which are compatible with the Euler-Poincaré characteristic as understood in this note.

Compare (29) with the formula at the bottom of p. 604 in [Ka], which expresses the dimension of the ε -symmetric power of a color vector space (that is, odd and even parts being swapped). Note that the situation here, however, is somewhat different: the group G has a torsion, and hence, as was already noted, elements

$\overline{\chi}(\alpha)$ are zero divisors in $\mathbb{Z}[G]$, so the straightforward notion of the ring of quotients is not applicable.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thanks are due to Rutwig Campoamor-Stursberg for triggering my interest in the subject and pointing out some references, to Dmitri Piontkovski for an interesting discussion and informing about misprints in [PS], and to Max Alekseyev for advise to use multisections in Lemma 5.

REFERENCES

- [BL] C. Berger and T. Leinster, *The Euler characteristic of a category as the sum of a divergent series*, Homology, Homotopy and Appl. **10** (2008), 41–51; arXiv:0707.0835.
- [Bor] R.E. Borcherds, *Sporadic groups and string theory*, First European Congress of Mathematics, Vol. I: Invited Lectures, Birkhäuser, 1994, 411–421.
- [Bou] N. Bourbaki, *Algèbre. Chapitre X. Algèbre homologique*, Masson, Paris, 1980.
- [CE] C. Chevalley and S. Eilenberg, *Cohomology theory of Lie groups and Lie algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **63** (1948), 85–124.
- [FF] B.L. Feigin and D.B. Fuchs, *Cohomologies of Lie groups and Lie algebras*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences Vol. 21, Lie Groups and Lie Algebras II, Springer, 2000, 127–215.
- [F] D.B. Fuchs, *Cohomology of Infinite-Dimensional Lie Algebras*, Consultants Bureau, New York, 1986.
- [Go] S.I. Goldberg, *On the Euler characteristic of a Lie algebra*, Amer. Math. Monthly **62** (1955), 239–240.
- [Gr] C. Gruson, *Finitude de l’homologie de certains modules de dimension finie sur une super algèbre de Lie*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **47** (1997), 531–553.
- [H] G.H. Hardy, *Divergent Series*, Oxford Univ. Press, 1949.
- [Ka] S.-J. Kang, *Graded Lie superalgebras and the superdimension formula*, J. Algebra **204** (1998), 597–655.
- [Ko] J.-L. Koszul, *Homologie et cohomologie des algèbres de Lie*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **78** (1950), 65–127.
- [M1] M.-P. Malliavin-Brameret, *Cohomologie d’algèbres de Lie nilpotentes, et caractéristiques d’Euler-Poincaré*, Bull. Sci. Math. **100** (1976), 269–287.
- [M2] M.-P. Malliavin-Brameret, *Caractérisitiques d’Euler-Poincaré d’algèbres de Lie résolubles*, Comptes Rendus Acad. Sci. Paris **284** (1977), 1487–1488.
- [PS] D. Piontkovski and S. Silvestrov, *Cohomology of 3-dimensional color Lie algebras*, J. Algebra **316** (2007), 499–513; arXiv:math.KT/0508573.
- [P] T. Pirashvili, *The Euler-Poincaré characteristic of a Lie algebra*, J. Lie Theory **8** (1998), 429–431.
- [R] J. Riordan, *Combinatorial Identities*, Wiley, 1968.
- [S] M. Scheunert, *Generalized Lie algebras*, J. Math. Phys. **20** (1979), 712–720.
- [SZ] M. Scheunert and R.B. Zhang, *Cohomology of Lie superalgebras and of their generalizations*, J. Math. Phys. **39** (1998), 5024–5061; arXiv:q-alg/9701037.

E-mail address: justpasha@gmail.com